

# NM EQIP FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - Estancia F.O.

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Farm No. \_\_\_\_\_ Tract No. \_\_\_\_\_ CMS Field No's. \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Non-Tribal Land \_\_\_\_\_ Preliminary Rating \_\_\_\_ Final Rating \_\_\_\_

## 1. Plants - 100 Potential Points (25% of Total)

Note: Instructions on separate sheet				Potential Points		Total Points
<b>Rangelands:</b>			Departure from Expected			
	Biotic Integrity		(N-S)(S-M)	15		
Rangeland	Biotic Integrity		(M)(M-E)(E)	33		
Health	Hydrolic Function		(N-S)(S-M)	15		
Attribute	Hydrolic Function		(M)(M-E)(E)	33		
Rating	Soil and Site Stabiltiy		(N-S)(S-M)	15		
	Soil and Site Stabiltiy		(M)(M-E)(E)	34		
<b>Riparian:</b>	Use Attachment 1, 2, or 3	% Quality Bench Mark: _____	% Quality After: _____	100		
<b>Grazed Forest:</b>	Use Attachment 4	% Quality Bench Mark: _____	% Quality After: _____	100		
<b>1. Plants</b>		Total	100%	Total	100%	<b>Total: 0</b>

## 2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - 240 Potential Points (60% of Total)

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.		Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
<b>Soil/Air Concerns</b>				
(Sheet and Rill Erosion and Concentrated Flow) Diversion (362)		17		
(Sheet and Rill Erosion and Conentrated Flow) Grade Stabelization Structure (410)		17		
(Classic Gullies and Streambank Erosion)Pond (378)		17		
<b>Water Concerns(Inadequate Water Use on Non-Irrigated Land)</b>				
Water Facility (614)		9		
Pond (378)		17		
Livestock Pipeline (516)		9		
Well (642)		9		
Pumping Plant (533)		9		
<b>Plant Concerns</b>				
(Noxious & Invasive Plants)Pest Mangement (595A) - Class A & B Weeds		25		
( Productivity, Health and Vigor)Range Planting(550) & Critical Area Planting (342)		17		
(Productivity, Health and Vigor))Brush Management (314)		17		
(Productivity, Health and Vigor)Forest Stand Improvement (666)		17		
(Productivity, Health and Vigor)(Fence (382)		9		
<b>Animal Concerns</b>				
(Inadequate Water)Wildlife Watering Facility (614)		17		
(Inadequate Food and Shelter)Fence (382)		17		
(Inadequate Food and Shelter)Tree and Shrub Establishment (612)		17		
<b>2. Conservation Practice Selection</b>				

## 3. Other Considerations - 60 Potential Points (15% of Total)

Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them as item(s) E and F.	Potential Points	Bench-mark Points	After Points
---	------------------	-------------------	--------------

## NM EQIP FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - Estancia F.O.

A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced. (List the species impacted)	30	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	N/A	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned sec. 309 project.	N/A	0	
D. The land is within a NMED designated Category I watershed.	N/A	0	
E. Located in a Critical Subwatershed Area (Torreon, Tajique, Chililie)	30	0	
<b>3. Other Considerations</b>	<b>Total:</b>	0	

Total Points (After minus Benchmark): Section 1 \_\_\_\_\_ Section 2 \_\_\_\_\_ Section 3 \_\_\_\_\_ Total for Worksheet \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Designated Conservationist

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date